

**27 January 2021**

**148-21**

**Administrative Assessment Report –Application A1219**

Alpha-amylase from GM Bacillus licheniformis as a PA (Enzyme)

1. **Application details**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Date received:** 30 November 2020  **Date due for completion of administrative assessment:** 16 December 2020  **Date completed:** | | |
| **Applicant:** Danisco New Zealand Limited, subsidiary of DuPont | | **Potentially affected standard:** Schedule 18 to Standard 1.3.3 Processing Aids |
| **Brief description of Application:**  To permit the use of Alpha-amylase from GM *Bacillus licheniformis* as an enzyme processing aid for use in brewed beverages, potable alcohol production and starch processing. | |
| **Procedure:**  General Level 1 | **Estimated total variable hours:**  240  **Reasons why:**  The assessment is assessing a new source microorganism for an already permitted enzyme | **Estimated start date:** December 2021 (if unpaid) |

1. **Decision**

|  |
| --- |
| **Application accepted**  **Date**: 16 December 202 |

1. **Additional matters**

|  |
| --- |
| **Has the Applicant requested information in the application is confidential commercial information (CCI) or confidential?**  Yes, CCI  **What documents are affected?** Appendices B –B1, B3 to B6. D -D1,D3 and E1 to E5.  **Has the Applicant provided redacted copies of documents containing CCI (i.e. CCI version and non CCI version and non CCI executive summary)?**  Yes – in the application and in the non-CCI Appendices A to E.  **Has the Applicant provided justification for why information is CCI or confidential?**  Yes |

1. **Charges**

|  |
| --- |
| **Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit (ECCB) on the Applicant?**  No |
| **Does the Applicant want to expedite assessment (i.e. pay) for this Application?**  No |

1. **Assessment against FSANZ Act 1991 requirements**

|  |
| --- |
| **Subsection 26(2)** |
| **(b) Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?**  Yes |
| **(c) Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it should not be accepted?**  No |
| **(d) Are there any other matters relevant to the decision whether to accept or reject the application?**  No |

|  |
| --- |
| **Does the application meet each of the following criteria required by subsection 22(2)?** |
| 1. **The application is in writing**   Yes |
| 1. **The application is in the form specified in guideline 3.1.1 of the Application Handbook**   Yes |
| 1. **The application includes all information and each thing that the section 23 guidelines of the Act state must be included in such an application.**   Yes – for guidelines 3.1.1, 3.3.2 (relevant parts of 3.5.1 included as part of 3.3.2 E) |
| **Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?**  Yes  **Indicate which Procedure:** General |
| **Other Comments or Relevant Matters:**  Nil |

1. **Consultation & assessment timeframe**

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposed length of public consultation periods:**  6 weeks |
| **Proposed timeframe for assessment**  ‘Early Bird Notification’ due: 27 Jan 2021  General Level Procedure:  Commence assessment (clock start) Mid Dec 2021  Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure Mid May 2022  Public comment Mid May – Late Jun 2022  Board to complete approval Mid Sept 2022  Notification to Forum Late Sep 2022  Anticipated gazettal if no review requested Mid Dec 2022 |